Diabetes in COVID-19: Prevalence, pathophysiology, prognosis and practical considerations
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1. Background

The disease burden of coronavirus infectious disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has been increasing continuously with more than a million confirmed patients and more than 45 thousand deaths globally [1]. With a high prevalence of diabetes, it is important to understand the special aspects of COVID-19 infection in people with diabetes. This becomes even more important, as most parts of the world are seeing restrictions on mobility of patients in order to contain the pandemic. Recently our group has published an article highlighting special considerations in the management of diabetes in today’s times with COVID-19 pandemic [2]. Much more data from various parts of world has accumulated since then about the association between diabetes and COVID-19, management of diabetes in those with COVID-19 infection, and innovative strategies for medical consultation in view of limited access to healthcare facilities for patients with chronic diseases.

2. Aims of the review

This review aims to collate currently available data about diabetes and COVID-19 infection. It specifically looks at the relation between diabetes and COVID-19 in terms of epidemiology, pathophysiology and therapeutics. The review is updated till the time of writing; however, the data is evolving, and the conclusions made here might change later.

3. Methods


4. Association of diabetes with acute viral pandemics in the past

Diabetes and associated complications can increase the risk of morbidity and mortality during acute infections due to suppressed innate and humoral immune functions. The levels of glycated
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hemoglobin (HbA1c) > 9% have been linked to a 60% increased risk of hospitalization and pneumonia-related severity during bacterial infection [3]. Past viral pandemics have witnessed the association of diabetes to increased morbidity and mortality. Diabetes was considered as independent risk factor for complications and death during 2002–2003 outbreak of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS-CoV-1) [4]. Similarly, the presence of diabetes tripled the risk of hospitalization and quadrupled the risk of intensive care unit (ICU) admission during Influenza A (H1N1) infection outbreak in 2009 [5]. During the 2012 outbreak of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV), diabetes was prevalent in nearly 50% of population and the odds ratio (OR) for severe or critical MERS-CoV ranged from 7.2 to 15.7 in diabetic cohort [6] as compared to overall population. Mortality rate in patients with MERS who had diabetes was 35% [7,8].

5. Association of diabetes in COVID-19 patients

Emerging data suggests that COVID-19 is common in patients with diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease (CVD), although the prevalence rate varied in different studies as well as in country-wise data. In the pooled data from the 10 Chinese studies (n = 2209) on characteristics of comorbidities in patients with COVID-19, Singh et al. [9] have reported a prevalence of hypertension, diabetes and CVD in 21%, 11%, and 7% patients, respectively. Similarly, in a meta-analysis of 8 trials that included 46,248 COVID-19 patients, Yang et al. [10] reported a prevalence of 17%, 8%, and 5% for hypertension, diabetes and CVD respectively, in patients with COVID-19. Epidemiology Working Group of Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention that investigated 20,982 patients (n = 2209) found diabetes to have an OR of 2.85 (95% CI, 1.35 to 6.05; p = 0.002) for acute respiratory syndrome (ARDS). However, in the meta-analysis of 8 studies (n = 46,248) by Yang et al. [10] the odds ratio (OR) of severe COVID-19 was not significantly higher in patients with diabetes (OR, 2.07; 95% CI, 0.89 to 4.82), unlike hypertension (OR, 2.36; 95% CI, 1.46 to 3.83) and CVD (OR, 3.42; 95% CI, 1.88 to 6.22). Another metaanalysis of 9 studies from China (n = 1936) by Chen et al. [28] found a significant correlation between COVID-19 severity and diabetes (OR, 2.67, 95% CI; 1.91 to 3.74; p < 0.01). Table 2 summarizes the prevalence of non-severe (mild to moderate) to severe or critical disease in patients with diabetes with COVID-19. Fig. 1 illustrates the graphical representation of non-severe and severe COVID-19 in patients with diabetes. Interestingly, the prevalence of non-survivors was also higher in diabetic subjects with COVID-19 and it varied from 22 to 31% in different studies [17,21,23,24,27]. Table 3 summarizes the prevalence of survivors and non-survivors among patients with diabetes and COVID-19. Fig. 2 depicts the graphical representation of these data. In a univariate analysis of 191 patients with COVID-19, Zhou et al. [21] found diabetes to have an OR of 2.85 (95% CI, 1.35 to 6.05; p < 0.001) for in-hospital mortality. However, this association of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Smokers, %</th>
<th>HTN, %</th>
<th>Diabetes, %</th>
<th>CVD, %</th>
<th>COPD, %</th>
<th>CKD, %</th>
<th>CLD, %</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COVID-19 in China</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al.</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guan et al.</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al.</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al.</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou et al.</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>8.0*</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al.</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al.</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al.</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guo et al.</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>11.2*</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al.</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al.</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCP, China</td>
<td>20,982</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COVID-19 in Italy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onder et al.</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covid-19 surveillance group, Italy</td>
<td>481*</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>30.1*</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COVID-19 in USA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhatraju et al.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC COVID-19 Response Team, USA</td>
<td>7162</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# reported coronary heart disease only, * COVID-19 patients who died, HTN- hypertension, CVD-cardiovascular disease, COPD-chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CKD-chronic kidney disease, CLD-chronic liver disease, NR-not reported, Ref.- references, CDCP- Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, CDC- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.


Evolving data also suggest that patients of COVID-19 with diabetes are more often associated with severe or critical disease varying from 14 to 32% in different studies [15–18,20,22,24]. Wang et al. [20] in a study of 138 patients reported that 72% patients of COVID-19 with comorbidities including diabetes required admission in ICU, compared to 37% of patients without comorbidities. In an analysis of 201 patients with COVID-19, Wu et al. [24] found that diabetic patients had a hazard ratio (HR) of 2.34 (95% CI, 1.35 to 4.05; p = 0.002) for acute respiratory syndrome (ARDS). However, in the meta-analysis of 8 studies (n = 46,248) by Yang et al. [10] the odds ratio (OR) of severe COVID-19 was not significantly higher in patients with diabetes (OR, 2.07; 95% CI, 0.89 to 4.82), unlike hypertension (OR, 2.36; 95% CI, 1.46 to 3.83) and CVD (OR, 3.42; 95% CI, 1.88 to 6.22). Another metaanalysis of 9 studies from China (n = 1936) by Chen et al. [28] found a significant correlation between COVID-19 severity and diabetes (OR, 2.67, 95% CI; 1.91 to 3.74; p < 0.01). Table 2 summarizes the prevalence of non-severe (mild to moderate) to severe or critical disease in patients with diabetes with COVID-19. Fig. 1 illustrates the graphical representation of non-severe and severe COVID-19 in patients with diabetes.
diabetes and mortality was no longer significant after a multivariate regression analysis. Nevertheless, in a bivariate cox regression analysis, Wu et al. [24] demonstrated a HR of 1.58 (95% CI, 0.80 to 3.13, p = 0.19) for death in patients with diabetes with COVID-19.

In a summary report of 44,672 patients of COVID-19, The Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention reported a case fatality rate (CFR) of 2.3% (1023 deaths among 44,672 confirmed cases). However, the CFR was as high as 10.5% in patients with CVD, 7.3% in diabetes and 6.0% in hypertension [29]. Based on these findings and acknowledging the higher morbidities and mortality associated with comorbidities, researchers have recently proposed that the course of treatment and prognosis of COVID-19 should be stratified based on the absence or presence of co-morbidities in to type A, B and C. While Type A represents COVID-19 patients with pneumonia with no comorbidities, Type B denotes COVID-19 pneumonia with comorbidities; and Type C denotes COVID-19 pneumonia with multi-organ dysfunction [30].

7. Special aspects of pathophysiology of diabetes and relationship of anti-diabetic drugs in the context of COVID-19

SARS CoV-2, like SARS CoV-1 utilises ACE-2 as receptor for entry into cell [31]. ACE-2 is expressed not only in the type I and II alveolar epithelial cells in the lungs and upper respiratory tract, but

---

**Table 2**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>DM (n, %)</th>
<th>Non-severe/Non-ICU care [Mild/moderate] (%)</th>
<th>ICU care [Severe/Critical] (%)</th>
<th>p value between non-severe vs. severe COVID-19</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al.</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>5 (8.2%)</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guan et al.</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>81 (7.4%)</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al.</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>14 (10.1%)</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al.</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>22 (10.9%)</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al.</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>17 (12.1%)</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8 (15%)</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC COVID-19 Response Team, USA</td>
<td>7162</td>
<td>784 (10.9%)</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>DM (n, %)</th>
<th>Survivor of COVID-19 (%)</th>
<th>Non-survivor of COVID-19 (%)</th>
<th>p value Between non-severe vs. severe Mortality rate</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al.</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>9 (17%)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou et al.</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>36 (19.0%)</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>OR 2.85; 95% CI, 1.35 to 6.05; p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al.</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>16% (18.2%)</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>95% CI, 1.58; p = 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al.</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>47 (17.0%)</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guan et al.</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>81 (7.4%)</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* with ARDS – acute respiratory distress syndrome; *Primary composite end point includes admission to intensive care unit, use of mechanical ventilator, or death, OR-odds ratio, HR-hazard ratio, NR-not reported, DM-diabetes mellitus, Ref.- references, * % is calculated from total population either Survived or Non-survived.
also several other locations like heart, endothelium, renal tubular epithelium, intestinal epithelium, and pancreas. S-glycoprotein on the surface of SARS-CoV-2 binds to ACE-2 and causes a conformational change in the S-glycoprotein. This allows proteolytic digestion by host cell proteases (TMPRSS2 and Furin) ultimately leading to internalization of the virion [32]. Cellular entry of the virus triggers inflammatory response with recruitment of T helper cells which produce interferon γ. This leads of recruitment of other inflammatory cells leading to a ‘cytokine storm’ which could lead to organ damage and multi-organ failure seen in severe disease.

As discussed, diabetes is associated with poorer outcomes in COVID-19. A study in 161 patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan found increased time for viral clearance in patients with diabetes [33]. Apart from the usual mechanisms (impaired neutrophil chemotaxis and phagocytosis) by which diabetes predisposes to infections in general, there are several specific factors responsible for increased risk and severity of infection with SARS-CoV2 in diabetes.

a) Increased ACE-2 Expression: Diabetic mice have been found to have increased expression of ACE-2 in renal cortex, liver and pancreas, but not in lungs [34]. Recently, a phenome-wide Mendelian randomization study found diabetes to be causally related to ACE-2 expression [35]. Though the significance of these observations is not clear at present, increased ACE-2 expression might predispose people with diabetes to infection with SARS-CoV2.

b) Increased Furin: Diabetes is associated with an increase in furin, which is a type-1 membrane-bound protease, belonging to the proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin family (PCSK). It is involved in the entry of coronaviruses into the cell and increased Furin has been reported in diabetes, which might facilitate viral replication [36].

c) Impaired T-Cell function: Alterations in CD4 lymphocytes have been reported in animal models with MERS [37]. Lymphocytopenia has been observed in patients with COVID-19 and correlated with prognosis [17].

d) Increased Interleukin-6 (IL-6): Several cytokines are increased in COVID-19 infection. Amongst these, IL-6 is increased in diabetes and may play a more deleterious role in Covid-19 infection [38]. Monoclonal antibody against IL-6 receptor (tocilizumab) is being tested in a trial in COVID-19 [39].

7.1. Effect of SARS-CoV-2 on blood glucose

ACE-2 receptors are expressed in pancreatic islets and infection with SARS-CoV-1 has been seen to cause hyperglycaemia in people without pre-existing diabetes. Hyperglycaemia was seen to persist for 3 years after recovery from SARS indicating a transient damage to beta cells [40]. Though the similar effect has not been reported in COVID-19, it may be important to monitor blood glucose levels in acute stage and during follow up.

7.2. Role of antidiabetic drugs in current context

There is no data on the differential effects of oral antidiabetic drugs on the disease course in COVID-19. Metformin has anti-proliferative and immunomodulatory effects by virtue of inhibition of AMP activated protein kinase and has shown protective role in pneumonia in mouse models [41]. In one study in patients with tuberculosis, patients treated with metformin had better survival than those who did not receive metformin [42]. In a median 6.2 years of follow up of 5266 patients with diabetes, Mendy et al. [43] showed that metformin was significantly associated with a decreased risk of mortality in patients with chronic lower respiratory diseases (HR: 0.30, 95% CI, 0.10 to 0.93), even after the adjustment for multiple confounding factors. In a study of 4321 patients with a follow up of 2-year period, Ho et al. [44] showed metformin users had a significantly lower risk of death (HR, 0.46; 95% CI, 0.23 to 0.92), compared with non-metformin users, in patients with coexistent chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and diabetes. Thiazolidinediones (TZD) seen to increase the risk of pneumonia when compared to sulfonylureas [45]. Experimental studies suggest that pioglitazone reduces steatohepatitis by increasing the ACE-2 expression in liver tissues [46]. This purported increase in ACE-2 expression and its relation to COVID-19 has led some researchers to propose avoiding TZD in patients with diabetes and COVID-19. Experimental studies also suggest that
diminished degradation of bradykinin or substance P [57]. In increased risk of angioedema was noted, possibly due to the interaction observed between ACE-I and vildagliptin where a 4- to 5-folds levels with disease course in COVID-19 at present, data from other studies have suggested that certain polymorphisms of DPP-4 are associated with increased MERS-CoV infection [51]. This finding might explain the perplexing absence of MERS-CoV cases in Africa, despite the presence of virus in camels, presumably because of frequent presence of protective polymorphisms of DPP-4 in Africans [51]. Moreover, this has generated an immense interest whether use of DPP4 inhibitors (DPP4) can reduce the viral entry of MERS-CoV. In one in vitro study, sitagliptin, vildagliptin and saxagliptin could not block the coronavirus viral entry into cells [52]. Though ACE-2 is recognized as the main receptor for SARS-CoV-2, a recent modeling study did not rule out its interaction with CD26 or DPP4 [53]. Moreover, a possible interaction of DPP4 and renin-angiotensin system (RAS) pathways seems to be plausible, although not completely studied. Interestingly, dipeptidyl aminopeptidase -I-III cleaves the Angiotensin II (1–8) to Angiotensin III (2–8) and IV (3–8) which has cascading favorable effect through Angiotensin-4 (AT-4) receptors. Similarly, various endo- and oligopeptidase cleaves Angiotensin I (1–10) directly to Angiotensin (1–7) which has a very favorable cascading effect. This suggests that a plausible interaction of non-specific DPP-4i with ACE-2 is theoretically possible, and therefore, this area needs a future research.

In this regard, some of the studies found that co-administration of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACE-I) with DPP4 inhibitors led to an increased sympathetic tone and a consequent adverse hemodynamic effect [54–56]. There has been an interaction observed between ACE-I and vildagliptin where a 4- to 5-folds increased risk of angioedema was noted, possibly due to the diminished degradation of bradykinin or substance P [57]. In contrast, in the experimental study, sitagliptin was shown to inhibit ACE which could partially explain the purported beneficial CV effects [58]. DPP4 inhibitors have been associated with an increased risk of upper respiratory infections, however these agents have not been shown to lead to increased risk of pneumonia [45]. At present, there is insufficient evidence either for or against the use of DPP-4i in patients with diabetes and COVID-19 [59].

8. Special aspects of management of diabetes with COVID-19

8.1. Glycemic control

Glycemic control is important in any patient who has COVID-19. Though there is limited data about the association of blood glucose levels with disease course in COVID-19 at present, data from other infections like SARS and influenza H1N1 has shown that patients with poor glycemic control have increased risk of complications and death [60,61]. Most patients with mild infection and with normal oral intake can continue the usual antihyperglycemic medications. However, it is advisable to discontinue SGLT-2 inhibitors because of the risk of dehydration and euglycemic ketosis. Metformin may also need to be stopped if there is vomiting or poor oral intake. Doses of other antihyperglycemic drugs like sulfonylureas and insulin may have to be altered depending upon the blood glucose levels.

Most hospitalised patient with COVID-19, especially those with respiratory distress, would require insulin. Ideally, patients with very poor oral intake or those on mechanical ventilation would require intravenous insulin infusion with frequent monitoring of blood glucose (every hour or every 2 h, see next section). However, the adjustment of infusion rates would necessitate visit to the patient and increase exposure to the medical personnel. There is a need to explore alternate insulin administration strategies. One of these is use of subcutaneous short acting insulin analogues, an approach which has been used successfully in mild to moderate diabetic ketoacidosis; however, its safety in critically ill patients is less clear [62,63]. Secondly, single dose of basal insulin has been attempted in critically ill patients as in one study from Thailand. This could be an attractive option as it would reduce contact with the patient considerably [64] but needs more research especially in critically sick patients. Finally, insulin pump or continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSI) could be an option and some models have the advantage of sometimes bypassing infusion sites altogether via Bluetooth [65]. Fully automated closed-loop glucose control has been tried in critical illness and if feasible, could be useful in treating patients with COVID-19 [66].

8.2. Blood glucose monitoring

Blood glucose monitoring poses a special challenge as it necessitates frequent visits to patient’s bedside, especially if the patient is critically ill and receiving intravenous insulin. However, attempts could be made to minimize exposure. If the patient is not critically ill, he/she may be given a glucose testing device and self-monitoring may be taught. Blood glucose readings can then be communicated on phone and necessary action taken. Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) could be of help, especially the systems where the data can be remotely accessed without visiting the patient. Though, there is some evidence of CGM interference with commonly prescribed medications like acetaminophen, atenolol and lisinopril, it has been shown to be useful and reliable in critically ill patients [67,68].

8.3. Role of ACE/ARBs

Treatment with ACE inhibitors and ARB has the potential to cause up regulation of ACE-2 [69]. Mice with coronavirus induced lung injury showed improvement when treated with an angiotensin receptor blocker, losartan [70]. A retrospective analysis showed reduced rates of death and endotracheal intubation in patients with viral pneumonia who were continued on ACE inhibitors [71]. However, a contrary view is that increased expression of ACE-2 could theoretically increase the risk of infection with SARS-CoV-2. This could be a concern in people with diabetes who are at already elevated risk of infections because of many other factors. However, there is no evidence to support this hypothesis currently. In a retrospective analysis of 112 COVID-19 hospitalised patients with cardiovascular disease in Wuhan, there was no significant difference in the proportion of ACEI/ARB medication between non-survivors and survivors [72]. In view of lack of robust evidence for either benefit or harm, it is reasonable for patients to continue using ACE inhibitors and ARB, as recommended by European Society of Cardiology Council on Hypertension, European Society of Hypertension and American Heart Association [73–75].
8.4. Role of statins, calcium channel blockers and aspirin

There are several studies about the protective effect of statins in pneumonia [76]. Statins are known to increase ACE-2 levels and presumably by inhibiting calcium influx into the cell [79]. The precise role of these agents in COVID-19 has not been studied, however it seems safe to continue these drugs for control of blood pressure in hypertensive patients. Since CCB has no effect on ACE2 expression, some researchers have proposed its preferable use in patients with COVID-19 and hypertension [80].

Though aspirin has anti-inflammatory properties, it may not be advisable to continue it in patients with sepsis and disseminated intravascular coagulation. However, in patients with underlying coronary artery disease, it needs to be continued as anticoagulant unless otherwise contraindicated.


Treatment of diabetes poses challenge in the current times when the world is going through an unprecedented pandemic. There are “lockdowns” in most places with people confined at home. Opportunities for exercise are limited and regular walks and visits to gyms or swimming pools are not possible. There is also considerable mental stress because of the unpredictability of the disease as well as social immobility. Alterations in the daily routine affect the dietary intake as well. Stress could lead to inappropriate eating. Access to fresh fruits and vegetables could be limited and there may be a tendency to eat packaged foods high in calories, saturated fat and trans-fat. Patients may find it difficult to procure medicines, insulin, needles and glucose strips etc. because of part or complete lockdowns. The problem becomes more pronounced with elderly who are living alone. All these factors could cause glucose dysregulation and could predispose the patients to complications like infections, hyperosmolar coma, ketoacidosis and even acute cardiac events.

9.1. Measures for good health in patients with diabetes

1. Patients with diabetes need to maintain regularity in daily diet. Care should be taken not to vary the calorie intake markedly. Healthy balanced diet with good amount of protein, fiber and limitation of saturated fats is important to maintain a good glycemic control.
2. Exercise should be continued. Home based exercise like cycling, treadmill, stationary jogging and resistance exercise with small weights are beneficial.
3. Regular intake of antidiabetic drugs and insulin is important and should be emphasized.
4. Telemedicine can be very helpful in these times. Patients can consult their physician via telemedicine and appropriate advice about treatment can be given [81]. An article dealing with telemedicine is under publication in the special issue.
5. Care of feet should be emphasized in order to avoid foot related complications. There are telemedicine temperature mats which can screen for inflammation without having to visit the clinic. The patients who show inflammation can then be called to the clinic [82].

6. Patients need to be educated about the need to visit the hospital urgently in emergency situations like vomiting, drowsiness, shortness of breath, chest pain, weakness of limbs, altered sensorium etc.

10. Conclusion

Diabetes is associated with increased incidence and severity of COVID-19. There is experimental evidence of the effect of diabetes on viral entry into cell and inflammatory response to the infection. It is important to control blood glucose in patients who are infected with COVID-19. Treating diabetes at present with restrictions on movement is challenging; however, innovations like telemedicine can be useful in these trying times.
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